

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	. FIRST NAMED IN	VENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
	09/229,751	01/14/99	TORNBIUGH		C		
<u></u>	GLNNA HEND PO BOX 250		HM42/1001	HM42/1001		EXAMINER WESSENDORF, T	
		22031-2509)		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
					DATE MAILED	10/01//99	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks





Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/229,751 Applicant(s)

Examiner

T. Wessendorf

Group Art Unit

1618

Turnbitugh



Responsive to communication(s) filed on	· .						
☐ This action is FINAL .							
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.							
	et to expire1 month(s), or thirty days, whichever ure to respond within the period for response will cause the ensions of time may be obtained under the provisions of						
Disposition of Claims							
	is/are pending in the application.						
Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
Claim(s)							
☐ Claim(s)							
☐ Claim(s)							
	are subject to restriction or election requirement.						
	are subject to restriction of election requirement.						
Application Papers							
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra	•						
The drawing(s) filed on is/are ob							
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	isapproveddisapproved.						
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	r.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign prior	rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).						
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copie	es of the priority documents have been						
received.							
$\hfill \square$ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial	Number)						
$\hfill\Box$ received in this national stage application from	the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
*Certified copies not received:							
☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic pr	iority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).						
Attachment(s)							
☐ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892							
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Pape	er No(s)						
☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413							
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTC)-948						
☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152							
SEE OFFICE ACTION O	ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES						





Art Unit: 1618

Please Note: In an effort to enhance communication with our customers and reduce processing time, Group 1640 is running a Fax Response Pilot for Written Restriction Requirements. A dedicated Fax machine is in place to receive your responses. The Fax number is 703-305-3704. A Fax cover sheet is attached to this Office Action for your convenience. We encourage your participation in this Pilot program. If you have any questions or suggestions please contact Donald E. Adams, Ph.D., Supervisory Patent Examiner at Donald.Adams@uspto.gov or 703-308-0570. Thank you in advance for allowing us to enhance our customer service. Please limit the use of this dedicated Fax number to responses to Written Restrictions.

RESTRICTIONS:

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claim 1, drawn to a method of screening peptide that binds to a bacterial spore, classified in class 435, subclass 7.1.
- II. Claims 2-9, drawn to peptide, classified in class 530, subclasses 330 and 327.
- III. Claims 10-13, drawn to a composition of matter, classified in class 530, subclasses 324-330.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons: Inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct





Art Unit: 1618

if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process such as chemical synthesis.

Inventions II and III are related as mutually exclusive species in an intermediate-final product relationship. Distinctness is proven for claims in this relationship if the intermediate product is useful to make other than the final product (MPEP § 806.04(b), 3rd paragraph), and the species are patentably distinct (MPEP § 806.04(h)). In the instant case, the intermediate product, peptide is deemed to be useful as probe and the inventions are deemed patentably distinct since there is nothing on this record to show them to be obvious variants. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions anticipated by the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.





Art Unit: 1618

Inventions I and III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the method of screening for a peptide is not related to a composition comprising a peptide bound to a solid support.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: peptide sequences of from 5 to 12 amino acid residues e.g., peptide of Seq. ID. 39 or 40 or 100. Each of these species is structurally dissimilar and possibly has different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects. Therefore, different patentability determinations for each of these species is required.





Art Unit: 1618

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, 2 is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicants must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or

Page 6



Application/Control Number: 09/229,751

Art Unit: 1618

admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(I).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to T. Wessendorf whose telephone number is (703) 3967. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. to Fri. from 9 to 5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Donald E. Adams, Ph.D., can be reached on (703) 308-0570. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 308-4242.

Page 7

Art Unit: 1618

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Tdw

10/1/99

T. Wessendorf Patent Examina